

February 15, 2024

Submitted to: Let's Talk Canada's Critical Minerals list and methodology

Re: Consultation: Update of Canada's Critical Minerals list and methodology

We are pleased to provide the following feedback to assist with Canada's criteria that define mineral criticality for Canada, and determine which minerals are placed on the Critical Minerals List.

The current definition and criteria under the Canadian Critical Minerals Strategy is the following:

For a mineral to be deemed critical for Canada, the proposed criteria currently specify the mineral meets one or more of the following:

- essential to Canada's economic or national security
- required for our national transition to a sustainable low-carbon and digital economy
- a sustainable and strategic source of critical minerals for our international allies

and both of the following:

- its supply is threatened
- it has a reasonable chance of being produced in Canada in the near- to medium-term

We agree with most of this criteria, and believe it is sufficient to identify critical minerals. However, we do note in one of our examples below, arsenic, that is also used in medical applications, which Canada might consider as another criteria for use of critical minerals.

In addition, we believe that referencing Supply Chain Risks would also improve the criteria, building on the threatened supply chain with wording like: eg, *Critical Minerals are country specific lists of metals that are essential for the energy transition, new technologies, and/or support important domestic and allied country manufacturing and defense industries, but have risks associated with the current sources of supply, including geographic concentration of production, political uncertainty, policy risks with jurisdictions that are considered unfriendly to Canada, and /or Environmental-Social-Governance concerns that are not aligned with Canadian standards or values.*

While we largely agree with the criteria already in place, we must question if the criteria are sufficiently detailed, or are being applied in a way, to capture minerals they are intended to. We offer two examples of minerals which we believe meet the criteria but haven't been captured as being critical and are not on the current Critical Mineral List. We suggest these minerals and the criteria be examined to determine if there is a weakness in the existing criteria that can be strengthened. We also recommend adding them to the critical mineral list.

• Silica (is already on the critical minerals lists of India, Australia and Europe)

All sand is growing short in global supply. However, high quality silica sand needed for energy transition (solar panels), defense, high tech, etc. is even rarer. See <u>this link</u> for example which provides these quotes.

Quote: "The looming shortage of the highest quality silica sand is different from the shortage of construction sand as it involves high-tech industries (e.g. fibre optics, LCD panels, microelectronics, and other electronic uses such as Corning's gorilla glass® in smartphones) and, even more critically, industries involved in the energy transition (e.g. solar glass which comprises 50% of the mass of a solar panel, and wind turbine blades which comprise 50% of glass fibres)."

Silica and silicon are on the critical minerals lists of India, Australia and Europe.

The Northwest Territories has high quality sand currently being assessed at the Chedabucto project, and the NWT has a reasonable chance of producing high quality silica sand in the near-to medium-term.

Question: Why was silica sand not captured by the Canadian Critical Mineral criteria, and can it be added now?

• Arsenic: (is already on the US and European Critical Mineral lists)

Arsenic is used for defense, energy, and telecommunications technologies. And being the main compound of arsenic, arsenic trioxide is the precursor to elemental arsenic, arsenic alloys, and arsenide semiconductors.

From the United States Geological Survey:

High-purity arsenic (99.9999%) metal was used to produce gallium-arsenide (GaAs) semiconductors for solar cells, space research, and telecommunications. Arsenic also was used for germanium- arsenide-selenide specialty optical materials. Indium-galliumarsenide (InGaAs) was used for short-wave infrared technology.

And from the Virginia Department of Energy, here:

Today, arsenic is considered a "critical mineral" in domestic metallurgical applications that serve defense, energy, and telecommunications technologies (Fortier and others, 2018).

The U.S. does not maintain a supply of arsenic in the National Defense Stockpile and is 100 percent import reliant from several countries including China, Morocco, Japan, and Belgium. Arsenic has not been produced in the United States since 1985. Concerns related to the mining and production of arsenic include release of arsenic into soil and water where contamination is a serious health issue.

Question: Why isn't arsenic on the Canadian CM list? And why is the US not reliant on Canada for this Arsenic supply which they need?

The Northwest Territories has a significant stockpile of already upgraded or refined arsenic in the form of arsenic trioxide. We believe that the Northwest Territories has high quality arsenic trioxide that *has a reasonable chance of being produced in the near- to medium-term*

Note too that arsenic has medical applications, which could be considered for inclusion into the criteria, eg: from Wikipedia:

Arsenic trioxide was approved for medical use in the United States in 2000.[7] It is on the World Health Organization's List of Essential Medicines.[10] Approximately 50,000 tonnes are produced a year.[11] Due to its toxicity, a number of countries have regulations around its manufacture and sale.[12]

Arsenic trioxide also is used to treat a type of cancer known as acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL).[7]

Conclusion:

We believe that the current critical mineral criteria, perhaps with the addition of medical uses, provides an adequate guide to what constitutes a critical mineral. However, we question whether the criteria are being applied diligently or appropriately given that at least two "minerals" – silica sand and arsenic – fell through the cracks. Note that neither are minerals *sensu stricto* and the loose use of the term "critical minerals" to include such elements and aggregate should be clarified.

We recommend:

- Recommend: A review of the criteria to identify why they didn't capture silica sand and arsenic, which seem to fit
- Recommend: inclusion of silica sand and arsenic to the critical minerals list
- Recommend: a re-examination of the criteria and adjusting them so that silica sand and arsenic are captured.
- Recommend: consideration of medical purposes in the criteria
- Recommend: definition of critical "minerals" to include elements and aggregate

Regards,

Tom Hoefer Senior Advisor

Attachment: Critical Minerals Lists by Various Countries

Critical Mineral Lists by Country (compiled by the NWT & Nunavut Chamber of Mines)

US 50

Barite

Canada 28 Aluminum Antimony Bismuth Cesium Chromium Cobalt Copper Fluorspar Gallium Germanium Graphite Helium Indium Lithium Magnesium Manganese Molybdenum Nickel Niobium Platinum group metals Potash Rare earth elements Scandium Tantalum Tellurium Tin Titanium Tungsten Uranium Vanadium Zinc

Aluminium* Antimony Arsenic Beryllium Bismuth Cerium* Cesium Chromium Cobalt Dysprosium Erbium Europium Fluorspar Gadolinium* Gallium Germanium Graphite* Hafnium Holmium Indium Iridium Lanthanum* Lithium Lutetium Magnesium Manganese Neodymium* Niobium Palladium* Platinum* Praseodymium* Rhodium* Rubidium Ruthenium* Samarium* Scandium Tantalum Tellurium Terbium Thulium Titanium Tungsten Vanadium Ytterbium Yttrium Zirconium

Nickel

Tin

Zinc

Australia 26 High purity alumina Antimony Beryllium Bismuth Chromium Cobalt Gallium Germanium Graphite Hafnium Helium Indium Lithium Magnesium Manganese Niobium Platinum-PGEs Rare-earth elements Rhenium Scandium Silicon Tantalum Titanium Tungsten Vanadium Zirconium

Antimony Beryllium Bismuth Cadmium Cobalt Copper Gallium Germanium Graphite Hafnium Indium Lithium Molybdenum Niobium Nickel PGE Phosphorous Potash REE Rhenium Selenium Silicon Strontium Tantalum Tellurium Tin Titanium Tungsten Vanadium Zirconium

India 31

Europe 34 Antimony Arsenic Baryte Bauxite Beryllium Bismuth Boron/Borate Cobalt Coking Coal Feldspar Fluorspar Gallium Germanium Hafnium Helium Heavy REEs Lithium Light REEs Magnesium Manganese Natural Graphite Niobium PGM's Phosphate Rock Phosphorus Scandium Silicon metal Strontium Tantalum Titanium metal Tungsten Vanadium Nickel Copper

#4, 5120 – 49th Street, Yellowknife, NT X1A 1P8 Email: tom.hoefer@miningnorth.com

Phone: (867) 873-5281 Fax: (780) 669-5681 Website: www.miningnorth.com